

jeopardy" (to use of the words of your barrister, Mr Lavac, in the application on your behalf to Legal Aid NSW).

irrelevant

The police did not request a blood test of Mr Bullock, even though police reported that Mr Bullock's eyes were "dilated" - which (so you claim) was "obvious meth-amphetamine

abuse" The group of 20 men had been drinking for 14 hours straight - yet still had razor sharp reflexes
We have noted the issue of the dilation of Mr Bullock's eye was canvassed in Constable Pattie's COPS event: explain how this could be.

Dr Mohanadas Balasingham was spoken to ... who stated that that he [Mr Bullock] has extensive swelling and bruising [to] the facial region and a dilated pupil which is of concern as it often means either internal bleeding or swelling of the brain. he was seen drinking at the football hours later. He and his wife admitted on the stand that he went to the foot the same day

In the circumstances, there does not appear to have been a sufficient basis for the police to request the hospital or doctor to request a blood test of Mr Bullock to determine whether he was affected by "ice" or amphetamines. - not if you want to set someone up - no.

The police forced you to walk back to the point of your arrest when you were "in agony and could hardly walk", knowing that you had no car or money

Again, I note that, although you mentioned this point in the "blueprint" document that you provided to Inspector Bell, your meeting with Inspector Bell on 19 October 2006 resulted in an agreement that Inspector Bell only needed to investigate some of the listed issues of complaint, which could in turn be amalgamated into one substantive issue of complaint, namely, "inadequate investigation". For this reason, Inspector Bell did not investigate the issue that you have now attempted to raise again in your letter to Ms Banwell. - cop out!

this was as close to torture as you could get. In those circumstances, we do not consider that the issue warrants further consideration or investigation at this stage, particularly so long after the event. - only because !!

of their delaying tactics. AND YOURS!!

The statement by Detective Senior Constable Mooney "You are going to be charged anyway and if there are any witnesses, we will sort them out later"

she refused to listen to them.

Detective Senior Constable Mooney has acknowledged that she said to you: "Let me deal with you tonight, and if you've got any witnesses they can come and see me". What sort of n

what? investigation was this.

We do not consider that this statement was unreasonable. As explained above, on the basis of the evidence already obtained about your alleged assault on Mr Bullock, and in the absence of any evidence to support your claim that Mr Bullock had assaulted you, Detective Senior Constable Mooney had a sufficient basis to charge you in relation to the alleged assault. due to cherry picking witnesses

Under these rules, you yourself could be charged.

We also note that, to the extent that you mentioned possible witnesses to the incident to Detective Senior Constable Mooney, you did not provide her with details of these witnesses.

and you weren't even there

and they laughed at me

she didn't care she was not interested, she refused to listen! I was crying in frustration