

**From:** Nierop, Dirk  
**Sent:** Sunday, 11 June 2006 9:20 AM  
**To:** 'palnet@police.nsw.gov.au'  
**Subject:** Police complaint

---

**From:** Nierop, Dirk  
**Sent:** Sunday, 11 June 2006 9:10 AM  
**To:** 'customerassistance@police.nsw.gov.au'  
**Subject:**

To whom it may concern

I had a mutual friend hand these letters in person to Inspector bell before I went to court as I am a man of honour and wanted to make sure he was aware of the deficiencies in the operations of his detectives. He declined to take the opportunity to respond. The magistrate from the Attorney General's department completely agreed with my assessment that the police had exceeded their authority, over ruled the security industry registry, and directed the prosecutor to instruct the police to return my property and to allow my work at to continue as I present absolutely so danger to society.

I now wish to make an official complaint regarding the whole investigation and arrest. They have not done their job properly, have jumped to conclusions without exhausting all avenues of investigation, they have acted with bias and prejudice. Through their haphazard and wilful neglect they have nearly succeeded in destroying my life.

I request that a proper investigation be carried out immediately, that the responsible officers be severely reprimanded or sacked, that all charges against me are dropped, and that charges be laid against Shane Bullock for assault.

I look forward to your prompt response as each new day inflicts a terrible toll to my health and wellbeing

Yours sincerely and in desperation

Dirk Nierop

**From:** Nierop, Dirk  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 28 June 2006 11:12 AM  
**To:** 'customerassistance@police.nsw.gov.au'  
**Subject:** FW: NSW Police  
 To whom it may concern

I have just finished chatting with Sergeant Akeas and she advised that if I had further follow up complaints that I should again contact this address.

After the magistrate from the Attorneys office ordered that the police return my licence to me-with the restriction of not to work in licenced premises, I presented myself to the D.Y. station 3 days in a row. The excuse not to return it on the first day was that only Rebecca O'Brien could give it back, so come tomorrow, which I did. The excuse that day was that she was sick. I then turned up on the third day to be told that all the licencing police were at a convention that day and to come back again the next day. I asked why I was told to come today when they would have known about their conference the day before. I advised them that they were in contempt of court and they were giving me the run around. I hinted that I was going to see the chamber magistrate, and proceeded to the court house. Just before arriving there I received a phone call from Sen.Con. Hennessey that they had found my licence and that it was waiting for me at the counter.

At my last appearance at court, on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of June, the prosecutor advised the court that it was not possible for the CCTV to be copied and that I would have to go to the station to view it. I then rang Sen.Con Hennessey and asked when was a suitable time to come and copy the disc as I had conferred with a CCTV expert and it was his opinion that it was impossible not to copy the disc. The appointment was made for 3pm last Friday. At 2.40pm the police rang my CCTV expert and advised him not to bother coming as they had copied the disc. I viewed the disc and it does nothing but support my version of events. I am mystified as to the reason they are charging me, except for the obvious biased statements of Shane Bullocks group. The only other witness that I am aware of that is not a part of his group is a solicitor dressed in a white shirt who was unhappy with the injuries that Shane had sustained in his fall down the stairs. He told me that he would be making a complaint, however, the CCTV clearly shows him assaulting me with no physical response from myself. It even shows a friend restraining him from further assaulting me. I simply advised him that I owed a duty of care to my barman.

Another piece of evidence that there was police bias that has been at the back of my mind all this time was that between the incident on the 8<sup>th</sup> April and my arrest on 15<sup>th</sup> of April the police put an add in the Manly Daily appealing for witnesses to an "assault of a patron" that occurred on the preceding Saturday night. Not an "assault on a security guard" or just an "assault" but an "assault on a PATRON".

I have spoken to the manager of the Surfrock hotel for the first time since my arrest and he told me that since my complaints, they have been raided nearly every night, and even breached for under age, which they will be contesting in court as they believe it was entrapment. My belief is that the police are retaliating to my complaints by constantly raiding the hotel so that the owner will sever the contract with Safehand, the security company I work for, as payback. The manager constantly complains that the raids "are just because of Dirk", but this is faintly denied, however, I don't believe in coincidences.

While trying to find out what sort of CCTV equipment the hotel uses so that I could advise my expert on what equipment to bring, I spoke to the barman, Troy, who is still outraged that none of the police were interested in what he saw. He, like several others, told them what really happened, but the police just cherry picked their witnesses to fit in to the frame up.

My solicitor advised me that as I have one of my jobs back, that I probably won't be eligible for legal aid (my base pay is \$36,000). However, I am \$80,000 in debt, and while it was not a problem servicing my debts whilst I held 2 fulltime jobs, I now am financially desperate. But as I have been illegally barred from working in licenced premises in any capacity (including barman or glassy), my options of extra income are limited, which means apart from slowly descending into more debt, I will be forced to represent myself, or resign from my work so as to qualify for legal aid. I refuse to resign. This is not Australian justice, this is 3<sup>rd</sup> world stuff. I will continue to fight in every way I can but keep running into brick walls. I have been advised by I.C.A.C. that I am not a big enough issue for them. The media can't comment on a case before the courts, and I can't properly defend myself due to my having a low paying job. Am I to sit in a 10 foot jail cell for between 7 and 12 years for doing my job? I am in permanent mental anguish and it is affecting all parts of my life-

sincerely yours

Dirk Nierop

---

**From:** Jennifer Lawton [mailto:lawt1jen@police.nsw.gov.au]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 27 June 2006 11:35 AM  
**To:** Nierop, Dirk  
**Subject:** NSW Police

Dear Dirk,

Ref No. NSWP/F/2006/12119 & CAU 2612233

Your concerns about the actions of police have been recorded and referred to the Professional Standards Manager, North West Metropolitan Region for assessment and for the appropriate action to be taken, after this the New South Wales Police will inform you of the progress of this matter.

If you, or your representative, would like to discuss the matter further, please do not hesitate to contact the NSW Police Customer Assistance Unit on phone (freecall) 1800 622571 quoting the reference number provided above.

Yours sincerely

J.Lawton  
Sergeant  
Customer Assistance Unit  
Date: 27 June 2006

=