

*date of "incident"*

Q. Not only did you go to watch the football on the afternoon of 9 and 10 April, you actually played football yourself about three weeks later, didn't you?

5 A. Yes, I did.

Q. And you trained, you went to training sessions before you played in that match three weeks later; is that correct?

10 A. I did one training session. It was the Thursday before I played.

Q. So you were certainly well enough to take part in that training session on the Thursday. That would have been less than three weeks after the event; correct?

15 A. Yes.

*25 YEAR CHARGES FOR THIS?*

Q. You were certainly well enough to play - you also scored a try on that day, didn't you, three weeks after the event?

20 A. I can't remember if I did, but if that record says I did, I did.

Q. Mr Bullock, you are a person who likes to bash people, aren't you?

25 CROWN PROSECUTOR: I object to that.

LAVAC: I will ask it another way.

30 Q. Have you ever threatened to bash anybody?

CROWN PROSECUTOR: I object to that, your Honour.

35 HIS HONOUR: I will allow it for the moment..

Q. Have you?

A. No, I haven't.

40 LAVAC

Q. You have never threatened to bash anybody?

A. No.

45 Q. Would you look at this document, please?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you agree that that is a photocopy of an article that appeared in the Manly Daily on 26 August 2006?

50 A. Yes.

Q. And in that article at the top of the page--

55 CROWN PROSECUTOR: The article is identified, your Honour. If the document is going to be tendered, then tender it, but to go into reading out from the article, in my submission, is not--