



NEW SOUTH WALES

David Campbell
Minister for Police
Minister for the Illawarra

Mr Dirk Nierop


RML 94689

3 0 APR 2007

Dear Mr Nierop,

Thank you for your further letter regarding your complaint against Dee Why police.

I note your concerns about the content of the police case against you and the conduct of the prosecution.

The matters to which you refer were the subject of legal proceedings before an independent judicial officer to whom you had the opportunity of presenting evidence in your defence.

I suggest you be guided by the advice of your independent legal representatives as to any redress you may have against your conviction.

As previously advised, the New South Wales Ombudsman oversees the manner in which the NSW Police Force investigates and resolves complaints about the conduct of police officers. If you still have concerns about the manner in which your complaint against Dee Why police was dealt with by the Northern Beaches Local Area Command, you may contact the Ombudsman's Office on telephone number (02) 9286 1000.

I regret that I can be of no further assistance to you in this matter.

↓ But they are covering for their mates!

Yours sincerely,

David Campbell
Minister for Police
Minister for the Illawarra

MLU46192.doc

To whom it may concern

At long last I obtained a copy of the transcript of my trial and have trimmed 1500 pages into 90. These 90 pages prove a number of things categorically and without doubt

- 1)-That several police officers perverted the course of justice on the night of the incident, during the investigation, the arrest and charges, and before and during trial
- 2)-The Inspector Bells whitewash has been completely and utterly discredited
- 3)-That there was conferencing of witnesses
- 4)-That there was undue, illegal pressure applied to the only independent witness in the trial
- 5)-How close Detective Hennessey came to doing jail time
- 6)-That there are major links between the Narrabeen Sharks and D.Y. police. 2 x Fruedensteins and Winter
- 7)-That the Judge considered that the PIC should become involved
- 8)-That police committed purgery
- 9)-That all my claims from the last 15 months have been substantiated
- 10)-That police were aware that I was assaulted by Bullock and refused to charge him
- 11)-That police were aware that there were 20 fit, aggressive males who instigated the violence
- 12)-That police were aware that Bullocks face smashed into a large potplant

I have included a copy of recent correspondence with the police minister

this is the end of a long bitter struggle for survival

I know these pages hold the answers

Please do me the curtesy of reading them, these are the final pieces of a complex puzzle that has threatened to tear my life apart

You will not hear from me again

Thank you in advance for your professionalism

Sincerely yours

Dirk Nierop

Dirk Nierop
12/7/7



NEW SOUTH WALES

David Campbell

Minister for Police
Minister for the Illawarra

Mr Dirk Nierop

27 JUN 2007

P0701281 / RML95120

Dear Mr Nierop,

I refer to your further letter to the Hon Morris Iemma, MP, Premier and Minister for Citizenship, regarding criminal proceedings in which you were involved.

I understand that a Jury in the District Court at Sydney found the charge against you proved, however, the presiding Judge chose to order that no conviction be recorded against your name.

This is a sentence imposed by a properly constituted court and I am unable to comment on the factors that the Judge took into account in determining the penalty awarded against you.

I also understand that your complaint against the NSW Police Force was the subject of consideration in accordance with the requirements of Part 8A of the *Police Act 1990* and the results of this process were made known to you.

As you are aware, the New South Wales Ombudsman oversees the manner in which the NSW Police Force investigates and resolves complaints about the conduct of police officers. If you still have concerns about the manner in which your complaint was dealt, you may contact the Ombudsman's Office on telephone number (02) 9286 1000.

I trust this information is of assistance to you.

Yours sincerely,

David Campbell
Minister for Police
Minister for the Illawarra

MLU 46552.doc

Nierop, Dirk

From: Nierop, Dirk
Sent: Saturday, 7 July 2007 10:39 AM
To: 'david@campbell.minister.nsw.gov.au'
Cc: 'morris@iemma.minister.nsw.gov.au'
Subject: But Sir, what about your officer's integrity??

David
 Campbell
 09.07.07
 Minister for Police
 Minister for the Illawarra

Dear David

Thank you for bothering to take the time to send me a letter outlining the reasons how you are able to palm off my most valid and proven complaints off to another government department when the buck stops with you sir as it was your officers have behaved in the most despicable ways imaginable.

The question I want answered is are you condoning the tactics off these officers under your command?

I understand why the Ombudsman and the PIC have a vested interest in palming off my valid and proven complaints as the PIC are culpable as they allowed the same officers that I had accused of corruption to investigate themselves, which could only ever have had one result, a whitewash. And the Ombudsman have a vested interest in palming off my valid and proven complaints because they allowed the PIC to allow the very same officers to investigate themselves, then allow delay after delay for the investigation to be completed, and then produce a document that was written by the police themselves, absolving themselves of any culpability due to the length of time passed. The only reason that this time passed was because the Ombudsman allowed the PIC to allow the police to delay and delay. Not one of my many complaints were addressed. They even had the audacity to suggest that the police tried to contact myself and my lawyer for my doctor's name to verify the assault on myself, and then had the audacity to suggest that they could not contact the witnesses that I supplied them with. (I bet they could have found them in an hour if they had committed a crime) Yet that did not stop them constantly harassing the only totally independent witness, (besides the CCTV, which completely exonerates me) a week out from trial, and also while he was waiting to give evidence, to the point of him ringing my lawyer asking why he was sticking his neck out just for telling what he had seen. I am sending you a copy of this most creative document by mail for your perusal. Try not to laugh at it's absurdity and blatant whitewashing. All persons that have looked at it have scratched their heads in amazement. 10 out of 10 for creative writing but, calling a spade a spade, the only truth spoken was my name and address.

I have forwarded all correspondence to Pru Sheaves, the Committee Manager for the joint sitting on the PIC and the Ombudsman and Ms Sheaves has confirmed that the committee they will be looking very closely at my case when the 54th Parliament has appointed the said Committee.

Sir, I am never, ever going to go away. Your corrupt officers tried to put me in jail for up to 25 years because I acted without fear or favour by ejecting misbehaving D.Y detectives from the Surfrock Hotel for over a year. They bided their time, waited for the perfect situation to arise, and proceeded to carry out their unbelievably blatant perversion of the course of justice.

May I remind you I was lawfully carrying out my duty by asking 20 fit powerful, young, drunk, drugged, elite TAG east anti terrorist Commandos and A grade Rugby League players who had been drinking for 14 hours straight to leave the hotel that I supervised due to their outrageous anti social behaviour.

May I remind you that I never touched anyone (all there on the CCTV) until I was king hit by the so called "victim" and only retaliated when he began assaulting the barman who heroically came to my rescue. I struck this government trained and funded killer with 3 to 4 blows in less than a second whilst he was savagely beating the much smaller barman, I did this as a last resort while still under attack from between 6 to 8 other fit, powerful, drunk, drugged highly aggressive young males. I could not wrestle him as I would have been stomped, and kicking him was not in my book of ethics.

I told several officers exactly what happened, (including an inspector) as did the barman. The police refused to talk to the other internal security officers (or take a statement from the barman), all of whom saw what happened, but only took the names of men I was fighting (except for the cellarman who was stand in manager doing his first ever Saturday night, who only saw patrons throwing punches anyway) so that they could plot my destruction once and for all. They even put a biased add in the paper looking for witnesses to an assault on a patron even though they knew damn well I had been viciously assaulted and only reacted to save a colleagues life.

7/07/2007

I have had to live for over a year with a death sentence hanging over my head, frustrated to the point of tears as I knew I had done no wrong, that the setup was so blatant, and all I was getting was palm off from the ICAC down.

I have been called a coward on the front page of the Telegraph, I have lost everything I own, my capacity to earn has been negated, I was sacked from both my full time my jobs because the police told my bosses that the "victim could die" and I would face manslaughter charges, at the same time he was drinking at the football with his mates.(which was on the same day I hit him-and he was back playing A grade rugby league in 3 weeks) I have aged 5 years in one, I am addicted to sleeping pills, my good name has been dragged through the mud, my security licence has been twice illegally confiscated, personal information has been spread by D.Y. police about me; and I had to live with the real prospect of dying in jail as I would refuse to join any gang or kneel for any man, which means I would have had to fight every day, and eventually be killed- yet still I stand-defiant.

The investigation was a joke.

The arrest a farce-they arrested the wrong man, and they knew it-they planned it.

And the charges!

The CHARGES-they are premeditated ones. What? Did I plan this before I went to work that night? Come on. The only precedent my lawyers could find for the charges I was facing were attack from behind with a machete, a knife, a chainsaw and use car as a weapon. I was fighting, wounded, against incredible odds. I am hired to protect persons and property. I was just doing my job. If I had not acted, I would have been negligent.

In your letter, you mention that the charge against me was proved. You failed to mention that the far more serious, ominous charges were quashed. Of the course the charge of assault was proved. I ADMITTED to assaulting him, with just cause, I had NO OTHER CHOICE, blind freddy could have seen that. The judge saw that. That is why I have NO CONVICTION against my name.

You also state that my complaints against the NSW police were "subject to the requirements Part 8A of the police act 1990. If this is indicative of Part 8A of the police act 1990, then I humbly suggest this act is tossed out the window and an act that actually works replace it.

Sir, I am a law abiding, patriotic Australian who is the most stubborn, ethical man any one that knows has ever met. I will never let this matter rest. If the joint sitting does not do their job, which none of the various watchdogs have been able to up until now, then I will tour nationally and internationally with the stone cold facts I have accumulated; I will set up a web site, I will spend every second of my time, every cent I earn, to write the wrongs so that no one else ever has to endure the hell I have had to

Sincerely yours


Dirk Nierop
0412154157